Application No. Applicant(s) 10/840.089 TIN, SIU-KEI **Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner** Art Unit YUZHEN GE 2624 All Participants: Status of Application: (1) <u>YUZHEN GE</u>. (3) _____. (4) _____. (2) Frank Cire (Reg. No. 42,419). Date of Interview: 21 December 2009 Time: Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Applicant's representative) If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to do an examiner's amendment. The proposed amendment is as follows: Claim 1, line 10, replace [determining whether or not the device-independent color value] with -determining, using a processor, whether or not the device-independent color value-Claim 23, line 4, replace [comprising the steps of] with -the program instructions comprising the steps of] Claim 29, line 1, replace [The computer-readable medium of Claim 28] with -the computer-readable medium of Claim 27-Claim 30, line 1, replace [The computer-readable medium of Claim 28] with -the computer-readable medium of Claim 27-The applicant's representative later authorized the examiner to do the above amendment. Part III. 🔯 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Yuzhen Ge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)